

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMEI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,769	10/26/2001	Kevin Lauren Cote	600.1179	4317
23280	7590 09/27/2004		EXAM	INER
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			PRONE, JASON D	
	485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/001,769	COTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason Prone	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 16 August 2004.				
,	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice in					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-11 and 21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are ventions 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been a Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	A\ □ Interdent C	umman//PTO 413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,769

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-11, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bryson et al. (3,733,947).

relative to a front table and configured to move a work piece to be trimmed on the front table and into engagement with a backstop of the front table (Fig. 15), a driver configured to move the pusher the pusher element at a same speed as the front table when the pusher element is in engagement with a first edge of the work piece and the backstop in engagement with a second edge portion of the work piece (Claim 1d), that the pusher element is further configured to retract from the work piece and engage a next succeeding work piece to be trimmed (Claim 3b), a main cam (85) rotated by a main trimmer drive (56), at least one cam follower operatively connected to the pusher element and configured to follow the main cam so as to move the pusher element at the same speed as the front table when the cam is in a first arc of the main cam (84), that the main cam includes a second arc (85), that the at least one cam follower being configured to follow the main cam so as to move the pusher element trough a return stroke when the cam follower is in the second arc (Claim 3b), that the main cam

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/001,769

Art Unit: 3724

includes a third arc (85), that the at least one cam follower being configured to follow the main cam so as to move the pusher element through a forward stroke when the cam follower is in the third arc (Claim 3b), that driver includes a motor configured to vary a speed of the pusher element (Claim 1d), that the clamp is configured to grip the work piece against the front table for a trimming operation (146), that the trimming operation is performed using a front knife (106), a front table (88), and that the driver is configured to move the pusher element at a different speed than the front table to move the sheet material article (Fig. 15).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 16 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. All that is claimed is that "a driver configured to move the pusher element at a same speed as the front table for a period of time". The term "period of time" has not been defined with an exact amount of time that satisfies this term.

Therefore, an "instantaneous point in time" is considered a "period of time" and, as conceded by applicant on page 6 lines 1-2 of the amendment, '947 does disclose a pusher element that moves at the same speed as the table for a period of time.

Therefore, the rejection is valid and will remain.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/001,769

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP

September 21, 2004

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700